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## Project Background

Roadrunner Executive Tower (The Tower) will be a 180' x $220^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$ two-story productivity space designed to foster the relationship between academia, local professionals, and the natural environment. The first story, inspired by the UTSA makerspace, will be open to the public and outfitted to attract anyone from individuals up to large groups of people who would otherwise go to a coffee shop, library, or similar setting. It will be a relatively open space with a surplus of seating arrangements, tables, couches, and even private study rooms that can be reserved. The second story will provide office space for local businesses and professional firms that tenants can rent out on a yearly basis. RAACC has accounted for movable partitions in the design of the second floor to accommodate customization and optimization of the space per the tenants' desire. The second story will be offset 20 feet in the $x$ and $y$ direction from the first story to create a wraparound balcony on the north and east elevation and consequently an overhang on the south and west elevations. Low impact development features will be implemented throughout the site as the primary means of stormwater management and will serve to improve the existing conditions of the site, all while creating a peaceful and nature-centric aesthetic.

## Property Background

The site for the development of Roadrunner Executive Tower is located at the intersection of UTSA Boulevard and University pass. The property is currently zoned as Master Plan Community District (MPCD) which is intended to "encourage the development of areas of mixed uses that are internally compatible in an effort to achieve well designed development and provide a more efficient arrangement of land uses, building and circulation systems" per the City of San Antonio's (CoSA) Unified Development Code. RAACC finds the mission of MPCD developments to be inline with the purpose of Roadrunner Executive Tower, and as such, does not elect to rezone the property. The codes corresponding to MPCD development that most affected the design of The Tower are the maximum building height requirement, parking requirement, and the parkland/open space requirement. The maximum building height permitted for MPCD development is $40^{\prime}$ (The Tower is projected to have a 38 ' slab to roof height) which gives context to the choice to build horizontally as opposed to the more economic vertical construction (economical at least in the perspective to land acquisition costs). There is a requirement of $60 \%$ parkland/open space, which RAACC has met by including a green space/walking trail and through the implementation of several LID features.

## Site Information

Environmental due diligence of the site revealed that the property is outside the mandatory detention zone (figure 1) however a sand-filter detention pond will be implemented regardless as a sort of redundancy to ensure the site will manage stormwater runoff generated in even the largest of precipitation events. The site lies outside of the FEMA flood plain (figure 2) and is not within any known golden checked warbler habitat or over any known karst features (figure 3).

The property is within the Edward's Aquifer Contributing Zone within the Transition Zone (figure 4) which has implications mainly involving the LID design, which are discussed in the LID section of this report.

## Land Development

RAACC will be responsible for platting the 8.35 -acre property, which is a part of a larger property that is recorded in an original 116-acre survey out of the Anselmo Pru Survey 20, abstract 574, county block 4766, which remains unplatted. Per the City of San Antonio Development Services Department (DSD), the plat will qualify as a major plat due to the proposed improvement/extension of a public utility, which in this case is water and sewer. The plat will serve to also identify any easements that lie within the site, which requires extensive research of public recorded land records and adjacent plats, which is provided to the public via the Bexar County Clerk Official Public Records Search. Standard development notes from SAWS, CPS, CoSA are also included in a plat and are provided by the City of San Antonio. Additionally, our plat will need to include a standard LID/NCDP note as The Tower will implement several low impact development features. For a plat to be approved, it must be signed and sealed by a professional land surveyor, signed and sealed by a professional engineer, signed by the owner or the owner's representative, signed by a public notary who was present for the signing of the owner, reviewed, approved and signed by the Director of the DSD, and finally stamped by the Bexar County Clerk, Ms. Lucy Adame-Clark.

## Low Impact Development (LID)

Construction of Road Runner Executive Tower (RRET) will convert an undeveloped 8-acre lot of $100 \%$ pervious cover to approximately $60 \%$ impervious cover, which will result in an increase in stormwater runoff. Thus, RAACC is responsible, per development requirements set in place by the City of San Antonio, to manage the anticipated increase in runoff in a manner that would not result in any adverse impact downstream from our site. In addition to adverse impact requirements, our site is over the Edward's Aquifer Contributing Zone within the Transition Zone, meaning that the stormwater runoff is expected to outfall into a stream or tributary that will contribute the runoff into the Edward's Aquifer Recharge Zone, of which there are stricter development requirements treatment standards to ensure pollutants do not enter into the aquifer. Considering adverse impact and the potential of the runoff to reach the Recharge Zone, RAACC has accepted the duty to provide volume reduction and treatment to the runoff. RAACC has decided to implement several LID features standardized and championed by the San Antonio River Authority (SARA) in their 3rd Edition of the San Antonio River Basin Low Impact Development Technical Design Guidance Manual (SARB LID TDGM) to satisfy these requirements. Additionally, there are also numerous development credits that will apply to the zoning requirements for MPCD developments and fee reductions regarding stormwater management. Between the requirement to responsibly manage the increase in stormwater runoff, the site's vicinity to the Edwards Aquifer, the economic benefits, the environmental
benefits, and the consequential benefits to the users of Road Runner Executive Tower and the immediate community in the area, the implementation of LID features presented itself as an opportunity to elegantly solve the problems associated with the increase in stormwater runoff generated by the development.

The following paragraphs will discuss the justification for implementation of each LID feature, give a brief description of how they will work and the technical requirements to be met, and explain sizing information supported by calculations that will be referenced as appendices. All requirements being referenced and satisfied are per the SARB LID TDGM.

## Bioretention

## Justification

Per the drainage plan, all stormwater runoff will drain north-east to south-west across the site. The majority of stormwater runoff will sheet flow into the bioswale natural channels (discussed later) at the north and south extents of the site, however the proposed grading of the site presented the risk of stormwater runoff pooling at the middle parking island and at the parking spaces bordering the green space/walking path. To address this, bioretention (figure 5) will be implemented to accept the stormwater runoff that would otherwise pond in these areas if a traditional parking island and curb system were to be used.

## Modus Operandi

Runoff accepted into the bioretention areas will be in sheet flow where sawtooth curbs will allow the runoff to enter the bioretention areas. Sawtooth curbs have been selected to provide a decrease in the velocity of the runoff for the purpose of limiting erosion. Additionally, a river stone fringe will be utilized at the perimeter of the bioretention areas to provide further energy dissipation of the runoff and additional erosion control for the soil media. To accommodate precipitation events that occur in high frequency and/or events where the rate of precipitation exceeds the design precipitation rate ( 1.1 inches/hour), overflow outlets have been implemented at the south extent of each bioretention feature to redirect excessive runoff back onto the parking lot. From here, the excessive runoff will re-enter sheet flow, drain southwest across the parking lot, and enter channel flow once it enters the natural channel at the southern perimeter of the site. From here, the water can either infiltrate into the channel media or will be directed into the sand filter detention pond at the southwest corner of the site. To prevent lateral flow from infiltrated runoff under the parking lot, which could cause problems given expansive clays in the area, an impermeable membrane will line the bioretention areas. The impermeable liner is also required as our site lies within the Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone within the Transition Zone (SARB LID TDGM, 2023) and infiltration is not allowed. A cross-sectional view illustrating the components and intent of the bioretention design can be seen in the construction documents.

## Requirements

Captured runoff is required to completely infiltrate into the soil media at an infiltration rate of greater than 0.5 inches per hour. This is to both avoid the need of an underdrain and to meet
the infiltration requirements for bioretention areas. The infiltration requirements are to allow for surface infiltration into the soil media in less than 24 hours, and complete dewatering of the soil media in less than 48 hours. To treat all pollutants of concern, which include sediment, nitrogen, phosphorous, pathogens, metals, grease/oil, and temperature, the required depth of the soil media will be 4 feet (table B-3, SARB LID TDGM). To accommodate the two extreme conditions associated with bioretention, which are complete saturation of the soil media and drought, plants will need to be selected from appendix E of the SARB LID TDGM.

## Sizing

Per the drainage plan, the volume of runoff that can be expected to contribute to these bioretention areas is found using a precipitation rate of 1.1 inches per hour, which is standardized in the Texas Center for Environmental Quality's (TCEQ) Edwards Aquifer Compliance Design Manual and accepted for design in the SRB LID TDGM. To the desired level of treatment, the guide directs the use of a 4-foot soil media layer with a mix design of. $92 \%$ sand, $3 \%$ fines, and $5 \%$ organic matter. According to the SRB LID TDGM, a soil media depth of 4 feet will treat infiltrated stormwater in terms of suspended solids, oil/grease, lead, phosphorous, zinc, nitrogen, and bacteria (figure 6). Additionally, the mix design has been shown to meet the desired infiltration rate of 6 inches per hour. Supporting calculations can be seen in appendix $A$.

## Bioswale Natural Channels

## Justification

Per the grading plan, runoff is designed to be in sheet flow throughout the parking lot. In areas where runoff is not directed into the bioretention at the middle portion of the site (as discussed above) the runoff is directed by the proposed grade to drain into a bioswale natural channel at the north and south extents of the site.

## Modus Operandi

As opposed to the sawtooth curbs allowing the infiltration into the LID feature, the runoff for the bioswale is permitted to enter the feature via a ribbon pavement and wheel stop system. RAACC found this option, which would use dowels to anchor the precast wheel stops at each parking stall, more economical than implementing saw-tooth curbs along the length of the bioswale natural channels. Additionally, RAACC finds the aesthetics of this system more appealing and in line with the overall vision of Road Runner Executive Tower. The bioswale (figure 7) will be completed with a filter strip at the side adjacent to the parking lot, larger river stones at the middle of the feature, and be sloped throughout its length. When the rate of precipitation is greater than the infiltration rate of the system, the slope and porosity differential between the large river stone and the less porous soil media will encourage stormwater to run downslope, where it will eventually outfall into the detention pond at the southwest corner of the site. Because the bioswale is prioritizing conveyance over infiltration, the landscaping within them will feature flora requiring less water and larger river stones, which is colloquially referred to as "zero-scaping" (figure 8). The river stones will also be the primary means of erosion control within the bioswale natural channels. Considering the slope from east to west within the
bioswale, check dams can be implemented to satisfy velocity and slope requirements.
Consistent with bioretention, an impervious liner will be implemented to prevent subsurface lateral flow that could otherwise cause damage to the adjacent right of ways and the parking lot. A cross sectional view illustrating the components and intent of the bioswale design can be seen in the construction documents.

## Requirements

The requirements for bioswales are consistent with those for bioretention in terms of infiltration (greater than $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ per hour), maximum ponding depth (18") and required soil media depth (4') to provide the intended level of treatment of the runoff. Bioswales have additional requirements regarding the slope throughout their length, which consequentially invoke velocity requirements. According to convention, bioswales are typically between 2-8 feet in width. In using the river stone in the middle of the channels, the velocity requirement to be satisfied is in accordance with the reinforced turf value of no more than 14 feet per second. To limit erosion, bed slope is limited to $2 \%$ across the length, but the implementation of check dams allows the length to be broken up into segments that can have slopes up to $5 \%$. Regardless, the average bed slope of the segments should still be $2 \%$. Additionally, check dams have a maximum recommended height of 5 feet and are to include a gravel splash pad, at least 4 " thick, underlain by a geotextile and should extend 2 feet from the base of the check dam to again limit erosion. It is recommended that the stone splash pad be No. 57 stone, which could also be mortared to prevent the risk of removal. The bioswale natural channels will not be designed to overflow at grade as the bioretention has but will instead include steeper and higher banks to facilitate channel flow down grade from west to east across the site.

## Sizing

The sizing of the bioswale natural channels follows the same methods as described in the bioretention section and they will include the same soil media profile and design. RAACC will not be implementing check dams as the minimal slope (3\%) in conjunction with the head loss and energy dissipation from the use of larger river stones is not expected to exceed, or come close, to $14 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. Supporting calculations can be seen in Appendix B.

## Rooftop Rain Capture

## Justification

Road Runner Executive Tower has a 180' by 220' (39,600 SF) building footprint. Whereas the bioretention features and bioswale natural channels serve to treat and provide the required flow reduction for runoff attributed to the parking lot, RAACC will be implementing cisterns to store rainfall captured by the building footprint. By locating the cisterns on the high point of the site, the rooftop rain capture system will provide irrigation to the landscaping throughout the site without the use of a pump. Therefore, the temporary storage provided by the cisterns will mitigate the adverse impact attributed to the building footprint and will replace a traditional irrigation line/sprinkler system.

## Modus Operandi

The Tower will feature a standing seam metal roof at a single pitch (west to east) sloping 2\% length. This slope will direct water into an industrial gutter system, which will then redirect water into the two downspouts that lead directly into the cisterns (figure 9). The cisterns will sit atop a reinforced concrete pad surrounded by smaller bioretention areas to accommodate instances of overflow or low flow discharge. The cisterns will feature two safeguards to eliminate the risk of backing up through the gutters and into the roof; an air release valve at the top of the cistern to allow the air that becomes displaced by the water to escape from within the cisterns, and an overflow outlet that is designed to discharge large quantities of water simultaneously as the cistern reaches design capacity. The cisterns are located at the highest point of our site (994 feet above sea level) where the gravitational potential energy from the height differential will be used to provide irrigation to the landscaping throughout the site without the use of a pump.

## Structural

The Roadrunner Executive Tower will consist of a main two-story structure that will house conference rooms and study spaces to be rented out to businesses or students. Multiple design alternatives were considered for this project, and the following alternative was chosen based on its ability to best fit the owner's needs:

## Building Codes

The building codes and fire codes specified by the city of San Antonio regarding commercial buildings will be used for the design of the structure. The design of the building materials used in construction will also follow their respective code requirements.
The following building codes will be used for the design of the Roadrunner Executive Tower:

- 2021 International Building Code (IBC)
- 2021 International Conservation Code (ICC)
- Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-19)
- AISC Steel Construction Manual, 15th Edition
- 2018 National Design Specification for Wood Construction
- Minimum Design Loads and Criteria for Buildings or Structures (ASCE 7-22)


## Building Dimensions

The area of each floor will be $180 \mathrm{ft} \times 220 \mathrm{ft}$, however the second floor will be shifted over by 20 ft in both directions to allow for a 20 ft wide balcony on the roof of the first story. This will also mean there is a 20 ft overhang along two sides of the second story. The dimensions of the suspended foundation will be $200 \mathrm{ft} \times 240 \mathrm{ft}$ to accommodate this. The first story will be 18 ft in height, with 5 ft being included for mechanical space and the height of the structural elements ( 13 ft floor to ceiling), and the second story will be 15 ft (10ft floor to ceiling). The final building height will be 33 ft on one end and 37.2 ft on another end to achieve a $1 / 4$ " per ft slope and allow rain to drain. 2 ft parapets will be added along the sides of the roof.

## Proposed Design

The Roadrunner Executive Tower will be a two-story steel framed structure with a suspended reinforced concrete foundation using a pan-joist system. The second story will cover the same area as the first story with the addition of a cantilevered overhang on the second floor at the northwest corner of the building. The lateral system for the structure will consist of three steel braces span from the foundation to the roof located within the walls of the elevator shaft, as well as additional braces at the corners of each story. The exterior walls of the structure will consist of glass that will follow structural and environmental specifications. Sections of the exterior not spanned by glass will have insulative coverings specified by the architect and will be supported by metal studs. Minor partitions will be made of timber.
A concrete-and-steel composite floor system will serve as the deck for the second floor and will also accommodate a seating area at the roof of the first story (second story balcony). Piers will have to be poured at corners and column locations. Pans will then be used as forms for the suspended concrete foundation, then steel columns and beams will be erected for the framing.

## Design Loads

The following loadings and pressures are retrieved from the ATC Hazards site along with the ASCE 7-22.

## Live Loads

Table 4.3-1 of the ASCE
Offices: (65)psf
Lobbies: 100psf
Corridors above first floor: 80psf
Stairs/Exits: 100psf
Dining/Restaurant: 100psf
General Assembly: 100psf
Mechanical Room: 150psf
Flat Roof: 20psf
4.5.1.1 of the ASCE also requires a $50 \mathrm{lb} / f t$ (live) load be placed at any guardrails, such as along the stairs or the balcony on the second story.

## Dead Loads

Table C3.1-1a of the ASCE
Gypsum and Mechanical: 13psf
Tile Flooring: 10psf
VCT/Carpet Flooring: 5 psf
Roofing and Rigid Insulation: 8psf

Stud Walls w/ Metal Panels: 15psf
Windows, frame, and sash: 8psf
4" Slab on Composite Deck: 52.5psf
5" Foundation Slab: 75psf
Roof Joists: 2.5psf
Other structural members will include their self weight in addition to their carried dead loads when calculating their capacity throughout the design process.

## Wind Loads

The method used to attain the wind pressures placed laterally along the building was the Components and Cladding Method in accordance with ASCE 7-22.

| Nominal Design Wind Speed: | 107 mph |
| :--- | :--- |
| Risk Category: | II |
| Exposure: | B |
| Internal Pressure Coefficient: | $+/-0.18$ |

Final Wind Pressures used in design can be found in Appendix A.

## Seismic Loads

The full list of coefficients and seismic values attained by the ATC can be found in Appendix D.
Occupancy Category: II
Site Class: C
Fa: $\quad 1.3$
Fv: 1.5
SDS: 0.043
SD1: 0.023
Seismic Design Category: A

According to the ASCE, a structure in an SDC of $A$ is not required to design for any seismic forces beyond a lateral force at each floor of $1 \%$ the total weight of the structure, for stiffness and integrity purposes.

## Misc. Loads

Snow loads within San Antonio range from Opsf to 5psf according to ASCE 7-22, and neither of those values will exceed the roof live load in LRFD combinations. Similarly, the roof will be designed to drain water as to prohibit any Rain loads from exceeding roof live
load.
An example schematic for an elevator was provided to estimate the loading within the elevator shaft. A hoist beam is required at the top center of the shaft that needs to withstand a 7.5 kip load. The bottom slab of the shaft will withstand point loads ranging from 4kips to 21kips.

## Foundation

The final foundation framing is as shown in (figure 10). The spacing of the columns and piers have been changed over the course of this project to fit two different needs. First, we did not want the ceiling beams to be too tall and take away from the ceiling space. And second, if our pier reactions were too high, the final pier lengths would require us to drill very deep into the limestone, which is both expensive and time consuming. The loads calculated above were inputted along with the framing into a structural design program called RAM Structural Systems, offered by Bentley CONNECT. The 20" depth pan for the suspended foundation as well as the joist spacing ( $6^{\prime}-2$ "), and member widths were all taken from a standard form-sizing manual provided by form manufacturers for contractors and engineers to standardize. By using these dimensions, the need for custom formwork by the contractor is greatly reduced. RAM was used to identify the highest-loaded member from each of the three foundation member types, and was used to size the final longitudinal reinforcement that was used on the final plan sheet. The shear reinforcement, however, was calculated manually on an Excel sheet made by the structural engineer of this project. The shear loads were calculated by RAM, but the minimum stirrups to meet those demands were taken from the calculations done in Appendix G. The pier reactions were also provided by the software as shown in (figure 11), but the capcities of the piers based on depth were manually calculated based on values provided by the geotech, and can be found in Appendix H. The depth of the suspended slab itself was originally based off of projects of similar use and size, however the capacity of the slab was tested for this plan and the reinforcement was determined based on hand calculations in Appendix D.

## Second Floor Framing

The framing of the second floor can be seen in (figure 12). As can be inferred from the foundation plan, all the columns are located above a pier to reduce the shear demand on the foundation members. The composite deck type selected was based on a list provided by VULCRAFT that uses standard dimensions and values similar to other deck manufacturers. The demand on the deck in this project was calculated, and those values were compared to those in the manual before the deck was selected. This entire process can be found in Appendix C. Based on the weight of the deck as well as the loads previously defined, the program was able to size the beam members as well as the columns, which the final sizes used can be found in the construction plan sheets. A randomly selected column as well as a randomly selected beam was spot-checked to compare the accuracy of the values displayed by the software. The handcalculation versus the program's can be found in Appendices E \& F.

## Roof Framing

The framing of the roof can be seen in (Figure 13). All columns were continued to the top with the exception of the columns supporting the stairs surrounding the elevators. This is because they were not needed beyond the second floor to help support the roof deck, and we believed this created a more open space for tenants to walk through when entering the second story
through the stairs. The beam sizes (non-composite) were taken from RAM as well, however the joist sizes were taken from an Economic Joist Guide provided by VULCRAFT that uses sizes and capacities standardized amongst joist manufacturers. The roof dead load and live load were added together and multiplied against the 6' spacing of the joists, and that value was compared to the ASD tables provided before finalizing the sizes shown on the construction plan sheet. Similar to the composite deck sizing for the second floor framing, the metal roof deck was also sized from the VULCRAFT manual while using the demand I calculated by hand. This process can be found in Appendix B.

## Braces

Braces were placed along the walls of the elevator shafts. There were also two braces placed at a corner of the structure, placed perpendicular to one another, and this configuration was also mirrored on the corner opposite to the first. The wind loads calculated from Appendix A were placed as point loads along the frame of the structure, tested against all four faces, and the final axial forces in the braces were displayed by the software. The brace configurations can be seen in figures $14,15,16$, and the final sizes for all the braces were rectangular $6 \times 6 \times 1 / 4$ HSS tubes. The largest tensile or compressive load on either of the brace configurations was 68kips on a single member.

## Geotechnical

The site is located on the corner of UTSA Boulevard and Univ Pass. Geological the site on the border of the Del Rio and Buda limestone formation. For an accurate understanding of the soil type three borings were drilled at the depths of 10 and 30 feet, one 30 -foot boring in the building and two in the parking lot. The boring logs revealed the following soil types: fat clay (CH), lean clay (CL), and limestone. During and after the process of drilling no water was observed. As results of boring one, which was drilled at the location of the building, two plasticity index tests were conducted. The first plasticity index provided a plasticity index of fourth-seven which indicated fat clay and twenty-three indicating lean clay. The potential vertical rise (PVR) was calculated to be 3.26, due to the fat clay. As a result of a high PVR we are lime treating the parking lot. Lime treating the fat clay will stabilize the subgrade, increase the load bearing capacity, and lower the plasticity index. Lime treatment and PVR calculations can be observed in appendix I. For the boring logs reference, they can be found in appendix H .

## Utilities

## Water Utilities

The water conveyance that will be utilized for the proposed building includes a 12 " water line located directly north of the building on UTSA Boulevard. Per the SAWS Infrastructure Planning EDU Calculation Sheet, an office building has an average capacity of $0.035 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{sf}$ for one day. The square footage of the building is approximately $79,200 \mathrm{sf}$, producing a total capacity of 2772 gal/day for this building. size for the proposed water meter is 2 " with a 2 " service line. Static pressure at the meter will be 79.33 psi which means this line will not require a pressurereducing valve.

## Wastewater Utilities

The wastewater lateral will connect to a 12 " sewer main south of the building located on a public easement. The EDU measurement for wastewater is 200 gpd . Giving us a total EDU of 13.86 for the building. Peak Flow was calculated to be $11,940 \mathrm{gpd}$. This includes inflow and infiltration as required by SAWS. Using the Manning Equation, we were able to find the average flow and velocity of a 6 " diameter PVC pipe ( $1,128,742$ gpd). This calculation is for a 6 " diameter pipe running at $100 \%$ capacity. SAWS requires a minimum 6 " sewer lateral, giving us more than enough flow for the building output.
The elevation between the existing wastewater main and the building caused an $8 \%$ slope that could possibly wear down the pipe at an accelerated rate. To deter this, we are proposing a drop manhole that will allow for a $2 \%$ slope to be maintained throughout the line.

## Fire Protection Utilities

Fire protection for our site will provide a 2" DI fire line directly to the building for a NFPA 13 sprinkler system. This line will include a backflow prevention device to keep stagnant water from going back into the main SAWS line. A designated fire lane will wrap around the entirety of the building with a width of 26 FT. With the square footage that the building has and the material being used for the building, a designated fire flow line with 1 fire hydrant will be required per the international fire code. There is an existing fire hydrant located directly north of the building that can be used as one of the required hydrants. The fire flow line will have to produce at least $1,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ with a minimum pressure of 20 psi . This line will have to be 6 " of DI with a mechanical joint gate valve.

## Drainage

According to COSA Storm Water Design Manual and the TxDOT Hydrology manual, the rational method can be used for areas under 200 acres. The tables are values to be used in the calculations of the runoff rate for a $25-$-year and 100 year storm ( $Q$ in cfs) for our lot in existing conditions. Top of elevation of our existing property is 994 ft above sea level that will drain into a 966 ft above sea level culvert exiting the property. See attached map and tables. Even though we are outside of the mandatory detention center, we have designed an optional detention pond located at the lowest point of the property (SW corner). Directly in front of the walking trail area and in-between the parking island are areas for three optional bio-retention ponds to water and maintain the property landscaping. The Proposed drainage plan will follow the same flow path of the existing drainage with a minimum $2 \%$ slope. The proposed drainage paln will utilize sawtooth curb outlets to drain parking lot runoff into the existing channels that will be modified to carry runoff to the detention pond. The Proposed drainage will also flow through sheet/shallow flow through the parking lot and open green space (see appendix J).

## Site Plan

The site consists of 8.44 acres of undeveloped land off UTSA Blvd, located in the north east side Northwest side of San Antonio Tx. This Property is zoned as a Master Plan Community District (MPCD), to develop areas of mixed uses that are internally compatible in an effort to achieve well designed development and provide a more efficient arrangement of land uses. Based on the GFA this site will need minimum 260 parking spaces, that is 1 per 300 sqft GFA.

Minimum 25 ft clearance was left between $90^{\circ}$ parking spaces. Per the Unified Development Code $20 \%$ of open space has been reserved as Impervious Cover. Two 12' x 50' loading zones were added as well as a dumpster area to the site plan.

Figures


Figure 1 - Site in relation to Mandatory Detention Zones.


Figure 2 - Site in relation to FEMA Floodplain.


Figure 3 - Site in relation to Karst Zones and Golden Cheeked Warbler Habitat.


Figure 4 - Site in relation to Edwards Aquifer.


Figure 5-Typical bioretention cross section.


Figure 6 - Depth of soil media required to remove pollutants.


Figure 7 - Typical Bioswale cross section.


Figure 8 - Example of zero-scaping.


Figure 9 - An example of a roof-gutter-downspout-cistern system.


Figure 10 - Foundation Plan


Figure 11 - pier reactions


Figure 12 - second story framing.


Figure 13-Roof Framing Plan


Figure 14 - Brace Elevations


Figure 15 - Brace Elevations


Figure 16 - Brace Elevation


Figure 17 - Stair Design 1


Figure 18 - Stair design 2


AISC 15th (360-16): LRFD Code Check

| Limit State | Required | Available | Unity Check | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applied Loading - Bending/Axial | - | - | - | - |
| Applied Loading - Shear + Torsion | - | - | - | - |
| Axial Tension Analysis | 0 k | 940.5 k | - | - |
| Axial Compression Analysis | 6.22 k | 856.584 k | - | - |
| Flexural Analysis (Strong Axis) | 71.277 k -ft | 370.5 k -ft | - | - |
| Flexural Analysis (Weak Axis) | $155.927 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | 293.668 k -ft | - | - |
| Shear Analysis (Major Axis y) | 88.278 k | 316.512 k | 0.279 | PASS |
| Shear Analysis (Minor Axis z) | 77.283 k | 216.072 k | 0.358 | PASS |
| Bending \& Axial Interaction Check (UC Bending Max) | - | - | 0.882 | PASS |
| Torsional Analysis | 61.728 k -ft | 269.176 k -ft | 0.229 | PASS |

Figure 19 - Stair loads


Figure 20 - Girder Moments Diagram


Figure 21 - Joist moment diagram

| Time of Concentration - Post Development Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sheet Flow |  |  |  |  | Shallow Concentrated Flow |  |  |  | Channel Flow |  |  |  |  | Total |
| Basin ID | Length (ft) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Mannings } \\ " n " ~ \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} \\ \text { (in) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | Length (ft) | K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | Length <br> (ft) | Mannings <br> ( n ) | Slope \% | Channel <br> Hydraulic <br> Radius (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tc } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 100 | 0.011 | 0.04 | 4.44 | 0.780 | 621 | 20.32 | 0.03 | 2.941 | 71 | 0.035 | 0.03 | 10 | 0.012 | 3.732 |
| 2 | 100 | 0.011 | 0.04 | 4.44 | 0.780 | 105 | 20.32 | 0.02 | 0.609 | 877 | 0.035 | 0.02 | 10 | 0.162 | 1.550 |
| 3 | 100 | 0.011 | 0.04 | 4.44 | 0.780 | 163 | 20.32 | 0.02 | 0.945 | 664 | 0.035 | 0.03 | 10 | 0.113 | 1.838 |

Figure 22 - Time of Concentration calculations

| Time of Concentration - Pre Development Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sheet Flow |  |  |  |  | Shallow Concentrated Flow |  |  |  | Channel Flow |  |  |  |  | Total |
| Basin ID | Length (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mannings } \\ \text { "n" } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P} \\ \text { (in) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tc } \\ (\min ) \end{gathered}$ | Length (ft) | K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ | Length <br> (ft) | Mannings <br> ( n ) | Slope \% | Channel Hydraulic Radius (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tc} \\ & (\mathrm{~min}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 100 | 0.011 | 0.06 | 4.44 | 0.663 | 100 | 16.13 | 0.02 | 0.844 | 753 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 1.78 | 0.347 | 1.853 |
| 2 | 100 | 0.011 | 0.06 | 4.44 | 0.663 | 780 | 16.13 | 0.02 | 6.024 | 283 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 1.85 | 0.127 | 6.814 |
| 3 | 100 | 0.011 | 0.06 | 4.44 | 0.663 | 532 | 16.13 | 0.03 | 3.174 | 281 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 1.85 | 0.126 | 3.963 |

Figure 23 - time of concentration calculations

| Intensity - Pre Development Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basin ID | Basin Area (Acres) | Basin C <br> Value | Time of Concentration (Tc) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity } \\ \text { 2-yr (in/hr) } \end{gathered}$ | Intensity 5-yr (in/hr) | Intensity $10-\mathrm{yr}$ (in/hr) | Intensity $25-\mathrm{yr}$ (in/hr) | Intensity 50-yr (in/hr) | Intensity $100-\mathrm{yr}$ (in/hr) |
| 1.000 | 8.440 | 0.700 | 1.853 | 7.864 | 10.376 | 11.718 | 13.764 | 16.042 | 17.858 |
| 2.000 | 8.440 | 0.700 | 6.814 | 5.897 | 7.762 | 8.960 | 10.554 | 12.269 | 13.807 |
| 3.000 | 8.440 | 0.700 | 3.963 | 6.872 | 9.053 | 10.340 | 12.160 | 14.153 | 15.842 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intensity - Post Development Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basin ID | Basin Area (Acres) | Basin C <br> Value | Time of Concentration (Tc) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity } \\ \text { 2-yr (in/hr) } \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $5-y r$ (in/hr) | Intensity $10-\mathrm{yr}$ (in/hr) | Intensity $25-\mathrm{yr}$ (in/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Intensity } \\ 50-\mathrm{yr}(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | Intensity $100-\mathrm{yr}$ (in/hr) |
| 1 | 8.44 | 0.9 | 3.732 | 6.966 | 9.179 | 10.472 | 12.314 | 14.335 | 16.037 |
| 2 | 8.44 | 0.9 | 1.550 | 8.033 | 10.603 | 11.951 | 14.035 | 16.362 | 18.197 |
| 3 | 8.44 | 0.9 | 1.838 | 7.872 | 10.388 | 11.730 | 13.777 | 16.058 | 17.875 |

Figure 24 - Rainfall intensity calculations

| Q-Pre Development Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q 2-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 5-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 10-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 25-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 50-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 100-yr <br> (cfs) |
| 46.458 | 61.303 | 69.229 | $\mathbf{8 1 . 3 1 7}$ | 94.775 | 105.506 |
| 34.841 | 45.859 | 52.935 | $\mathbf{6 2 . 3 5 0}$ | 72.487 | 81.570 |
| 40.598 | 53.487 | 61.086 | $\mathbf{7 1 . 8 4 0}$ | 83.616 | 93.595 |


| Q- Post Development Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q 2-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 5-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 10-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 25-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 50-yr <br> (cfs) | Q 100-yr <br> (cfs) |
| 52.917 | 69.726 | 79.548 | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5 3 9}$ | 108.886 | 121.818 |
| 61.020 | 80.544 | 90.779 | 106.610 | 124.285 | 138.227 |
| 59.797 | 78.905 | 89.098 | 104.654 | 121.976 | 135.780 |

Figure 25 - flow calculations


Figure 26 - site plan

Appendix A


Appendix B

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J | K | L | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NORTH |  |  |  |  |  | Volume-based Method 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | D | 3.5 | Pnd | 1.50 |  |  | Volume-based method 2 is described in Section 3.3 of the TGM (TCEQ 2005) and was developed to achieve TSS reduction targets by treating a percent of the annual rainfall volume. The calculationapproach is applicable to LUD design since it results in a capture volume based on watershed area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | dmedia | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | dgravel | 1.5 |  |  | The method is implemented as: <br> WQV $=$ Rainfall Depth (in) * Runoff Coefficient . Area $\left(f \mathrm{ft}^{\prime}\right)=1.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | WQV | 18096 | P | 4 |  |  | The rnoff coefsient is estimuted from Figure --4 ec catculted trom |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | C | 0.083333 |  |  | Runoff Coefficient $=1.72 \cdot$ WImpt -1.97 * WImp' +1.23 • WImp +0.02 [Equstion 4] <br> the rainfall depth is determined from Table $1-4$, and the area is the total watershed draining to the BMP in square feet. The storage factor 1.2 is provided to account for stored sediment that would reduce volume in between mintenance cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | A | 45240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Areq | 5170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Aprv | 21185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | D | 3.5 | Pnd | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | dmedia | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | dgravel | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | WQV | 22049.2 | P | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  | C | 0.083333 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  | A | 55123 |  |  |  |  |  | mpenm |  |  |  |
| 19 | Areq | 6300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Aprv | 19053 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | where: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $D_{\text {ce }} \quad$ = equivalent depth of water stored in representative cross sectional of bioretention <br> $D_{\text {t-rtoe }}=$ average depth of temporary surface ponding (maximum 12 inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{D}_{\text {mes }}$ " depth of soil media |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $n_{\text {vent }}$ " porosity of gravel drainage layer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $D_{\text {vener }}=$ depth of gravel drainage layer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $D_{\text {co }}=\left(D_{\text {surtace }}\right)+\left(n_{\text {maso }} \times D_{\text {mesto }}\right)+\left(n_{\text {comen }} \times D_{\text {serat }}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  | [Equation B-1-3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-\frac{v^{-0}}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | where: $A$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A $=$ required bioretention footprint (area) <br> $V_{w q}=$ water quality treatment volume (determined in Appendix J) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deq = equivalent depth |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C

| Tekla.Tedds <br> Alpha Consulting Engineers | Project |  |  |  | Job Ref. |  |
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## WIND LOADING

## In accordance with ASCE7-16

## Using the components and cladding design method



Tedds calculation version 2.1.14


## Building data

Type of roof
Length of building
Width of building
Height to eaves
Pitch of roof
Height of parapet
Mean height
End zone width

## General wind load requirements

Basic wind speed
Risk category
Velocity pressure exponent coef (Table 26.6-1)
Ground elevation above sea level
Ground elevation factor
Exposure category (cl 26.7.3)
Enclosure classification (cl.26.12)
Internal pressure coef +ve (Table 26.13-1)
Internal pressure coef -ve (Table 26.13-1)
Parapet internal pressure coef +ve (Table 26.11-1)
Parapet internal pressure coef -ve (Table 26.11-1)
Gust effect factor

## Topography

Topography factor not significant

Monoslope
$\mathrm{b}=240.00 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{d}=200.00 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{H}=33.00 \mathrm{ft}$
$\alpha 0=1.2 \mathrm{deg}$
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p}}=2.50 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{h}=33.00 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{a}=\max (\min (0.1 \times \min (\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}), 0.4 \times h), 0.04 \times \min (\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}), 3 \mathrm{ft})=13.20 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{V}=107.0 \mathrm{mph}$
II
$K_{d}=0.85$
$\mathrm{Zgl}=\mathbf{0} \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}}=\exp (-0.0000362 \times \mathrm{Zg} / 1 \mathrm{ft})=\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$
B
Enclosed buildings
$\mathrm{GC}_{\text {pi } \_ \text {p }}=0.18$
$G C_{\text {pi_n }}=\mathbf{- 0 . 1 8}$
$\mathrm{GC}_{\text {pi } \_p \mathrm{pp}}=0.18$
$G_{\text {pi_np }}=-0.18$
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.85$
$K_{z t}=1.0$

| Tekla.Tedds <br> Alpha Consulting Engineers | Project |  |  |  | Job Ref. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sheet no./rev. } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Calc. by A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 9 / 20 / 2023 \end{aligned}$ | Chk'd by | Date | App'd by | Date |

## Velocity pressure

Velocity pressure coefficient (Table 26.10-1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}=0.72 \\
& \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{h}}=0.00256 \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{zt}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}} \times \mathrm{V}^{2} \times 1 \mathrm{psf} / \mathrm{mph}^{2}=17.9 \mathrm{psf}
\end{aligned}
$$

Velocity pressure

## Velocity pressure at parapet

Velocity pressure coefficient (Table 26.10-1)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}=0.73$
Velocity pressure
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.00256 \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{zt}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}} \times \mathrm{V}^{2} \times 1 \mathrm{psf} / \mathrm{mph}^{2}=18.3 \mathrm{psf}$
Peak velocity pressure for internal pressure
Peak velocity pressure - internal (as roof press.) $\quad q_{i}=17.89 \mathrm{psf}$

## Equations used in tables

Net pressure
$p=q n \times\left[G_{p}-G_{p i}\right]$
Parapet net pressure
$p=q_{p} \times\left[G_{p}-G_{p i \_p}\right]$

Components and cladding pressures - Wall (Table 30.3-1 and Figure 30.3-2A)

| Component | Zone | Height <br> (ft) | press. (psf) | Length | Width <br> (ft) | Effect Area (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) | +GC ${ }_{p}$ | -GC ${ }_{\text {p }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pres } \\ & \text { (+ve) } \\ & \text { (psf) } \end{aligned}$ | Pres (-ve) (psf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <=10 sf | 4 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 10.0 | 0.90 | -0.99 | 19.3 | -20.9 |
| 50 sf | 4 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 50.0 | 0.79 | -0.88 | 17.3 | -18.9 |
| 200 sf | 4 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 200.0 | 0.69 | -0.78 | 15.6 \# | -17.2 |
| >500 sf | 4 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 500.1 | 0.63 | -0.72 | 14.5 \# | -16.1 |
| <=10 sf | 5 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 10.0 | 0.90 | -1.26 | 19.3 | -25.8 |
| 50 sf | 5 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 50.0 | 0.79 | -1.04 | 17.3 | -21.8 |
| 200 sf | 5 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 200.0 | 0.69 | -0.85 | 15.6 \# | -18.4 |
| $>500 \mathrm{sf}$ | 5 | 33.0 | 17.9 | - | - | 500.1 | 0.63 | -0.72 | 14.5 \# | -16.1 |

\# The final net design wind pressure, including all permitted reductions, used in the design shall not be less than 16psf acting in either direction
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Components and cladding pressures - Roof (Figure 30.3-2A)

| Component | Zone | Length (ft) | Width <br> (ft) | Eff. area (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) | +GC ${ }_{p}$ | -GC ${ }_{p}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pres (+ve) } \\ (\mathrm{psf}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pres (-ve) } \\ \text { (psf) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <=10 sf | 1 | - | - | 10.0 | 0.30 | -1.70 | 8.6 \# | -33.6 |
| 100 sf | 1 | - | - | 100.0 | 0.20 | -1.29 | 6.8 \# | -26.3 |
| 200 sf | 1 | - | - | 200.0 | 0.20 | -1.16 | 6.8 \# | -24.0 |
| >500 sf | 1 | - | - | 500.1 | 0.20 | -1.00 | 6.8 \# | -21.1 |
| <=10 sf | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | - | - | 10.0 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 8.6 \# | -3.2 \# |
| 100 sf | 1' | - | - | 100.0 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 6.8\# | -3.2 \# |
| 500 sf | 1' | - | - | 500.0 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 6.8 \# | -3.2 \# |
| >1000 sf | 1' | - | - | 1000.1 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 6.8 \# | -3.2 \# |
| <=10 sf | 2 | - | - | 10.0 | 0.30 | -2.30 | 8.6 \# | -44.4 |
| 100 sf | 2 | - | - | 100.0 | 0.20 | -1.77 | 6.8 \# | -34.9 |
| 200 sf | 2 | - | - | 200.0 | 0.20 | -1.61 | 6.8 \# | -32.0 |
| >500 sf | 2 | - | - | 500.1 | 0.20 | -1.40 | 6.8 \# | -28.3 |
| <=10 sf | 3 | - | - | 10.0 | 0.30 | -3.20 | 8.6 \# | -60.5 |
| 100 sf | 3 | - | - | 100.0 | 0.20 | -2.14 | 6.8 \# | -41.5 |
| 200 sf | 3 | - | - | 200.0 | 0.20 | -1.82 | 6.8 \# | -35.8 |
| >500 sf | 3 | - | - | 500.1 | 0.20 | -1.40 | 6.8 \# | -28.3 |

\# The final net design wind pressure, including all permitted reductions, used in the design shall not be less than 16psf acting in either direction
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Plan on roof

Appendix D

- Metal Root Deck Sizing $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Deck will be spanning } \\ 6^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime} \text { between joists }\end{array}\right]$

$$
\text { Root Live Load }=20 \text { psf }
$$

$$
\text { Roof Dead Load } \approx 25 \text { psf }
$$

Assuming Fixed Ends:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Metal Deck } & 3 p s f \\
\text { joists } & z_{p s} \text { p } \\
\text { Beams } & 2 \text { pst } \\
\text { MED } & 10 \text { psf } \\
\text { Cieling/Insulation } 8 \text { pst }
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
W=1.2(25)+1.6(20)=62 \text { psf }
$$

Technially,

$$
M_{u}^{-}=\frac{W 1^{2}}{12}=\frac{62(6)^{2}}{12}=186 \mathrm{lb}^{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{ft}} / \mathrm{ct}
$$ only the line lad and deck

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{u}^{+}=\frac{W T^{2}}{24}=\frac{62(6)^{2}}{24}=93 \mathrm{lb-ft} / \mathrm{A} \\
& R_{u}=V_{u}=W 1 / 2=62(6) / 2=186 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{At}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming Simply Supported:

$$
M_{u}{ }^{+}=W 1^{2} / 8=62(6)^{2} / 8=27916-5 t / \mathrm{At}
$$

Using 1.5B-36 Gr50 22-Gage Deck:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi V_{n}=4035 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{At}>V_{n}=186 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{At} \\
& \phi M_{n}^{+}=634 \mathrm{lb-st} / \mathrm{At}>279 \mathrm{lb-st} / \mathrm{A}=M_{n}^{+} \\
& \phi M_{n}^{-}=671 \mathrm{lb-ft} / \mathrm{ft}>186 \mathrm{lb-f} / \mathrm{At}=M_{n}^{-} \\
& \phi W_{n}=141 \text { pst }>W_{n}=62 \text { psf }
\end{aligned}
$$

( $6^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$, single span)
$L / 240=47$ pst max live $>20$ pst Roof Line

# 1.5B-36/1.5BI-36/1.5PLB-36 ROOF DECKS <br> GRADE 50 STEEL 

### 1.5B ROOF DECKS

## - 1.5B-36 Deck used with Side-lap Screws

- 1.5BI-36 Deck used with TSWs or BPs
- 1.5PLB-36 Deck used with PunchLok ${ }^{\circledR}$ II System



## Nominal Dimensions



## Section Properties

|  | Deck <br> Weight | Base Metal Thickness | Yield Strength | Effective Moment of Inertia at Service Load $I_{d}=\left(2 I_{e}+I_{g}\right) / 3$ |  | Effective Section Modulus at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=50 \mathrm{ksi}$ |  | Design Moment |  | Vertical Web Shear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deck <br> Gage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{dd}} \\ & (\mathrm{psf}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{t} \\ \text { (in.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F_{y} \\ (k s i) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}+ \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I_{d}- \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}+ \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}- \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}+ \\ (\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varnothing M_{\mathrm{n}}- \\ (\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varnothing V_{n} \\ (\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 22 | 1.6 | 0.0295 | 50 | 0.155 | 0.178 | 0.169 | 0.179 | 634 | 671 | 4035 |
| 20 | 2.0 | 0.0358 | 50 | 0.197 | 0.217 | 0.224 | 0.229 | 840 | 859 | 4874 |
| 19 | 2.3 | 0.0418 | 50 | 0.239 | 0.257 | 0.266 | 0.278 | 997 | 1042 | 5666 |
| 18 | 2.6 | 0.0474 | 50 | 0.277 | 0.290 | 0.306 | 0.318 | 1148 | 1193 | 6398 |
| 16 | 3.3 | 0.0598 | 50 | 0.364 | 0.367 | 0.393 | 0.402 | 1474 | 1508 | 7996 |

Design Reactions at Supports Based on Web Crippling, $\varnothing R_{n}(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})$

| Bearing Length of Webs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One-Flange Loading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Two-Flange Loading |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deck | End Bearing |  |  |  | Interior Bearing |  | End Bearing |  |  |  | Interior Bearing |  |
| Gage | 11⁄2" | 2" | 3" | 4" | 3" | 4" | 1112" | 2" | 3" | $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3" | $4 "$ |
| 22 | 1235 | 1357 | 1563 | 1706 | 2204 | 2383 | 1289 | 1389 | 1556 | 1672 | 2728 | 2966 |
| 20 | 1763 | 1932 | 2215 | 2408 | 3164 | 3406 | 1949 | 2093 | 2333 | 2497 | 3960 | 4286 |
| 19 | 2344 | 2562 | 2927 | 3169 | 4222 | 4527 | 2702 | 2893 | 3213 | 3426 | 5324 | 5740 |
| 18 | 2954 | 3221 | 3669 | 3959 | 5334 | 5699 | 3515 | 3754 | 4156 | 4417 | 6762 | 7265 |
| 16 | 4525 | 4915 | 5568 | 5967 | 8206 | 8709 | 5681 | 6043 | 6651 | 7023 | 10487 | 11191 |

## Standard Features

- ASTM A653 SS GR50 Min., with G60 or G90, white or gray primer optional
- ASTM A1008 SS GR50 Min. with gray primer
- Standard lengths - 6' 0 " to $42^{\prime}-0$ "
- IAPMO UES ER-0652, UL, and FM Listed
- Tables conform to ANSI/SDI RD-2017


## Optional Features

- Inquire regarding cost and lead times for:
-Short cuts < 6'-0"
-Sheet Lengths > 42'-0"
-Alternative metallic and painted finishes
- Web Perforated Acoustical Versions


### 1.5B-36/1.5BI-36/1.5PLB-36 ROOF DECKS <br> GRADE 50 STEEL

## Inward Uniform Design Loads, LRFD (psf)

| Deck Gage | Spans | Criteria | Span (ft-in.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2'-0" | $3^{\prime}-0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 4'-0" | 5'-0" | 6'-0" | 7-0" | 8'-0" | 9'-0" | 10'-0" | 11'-0" | 12'-0" |
| 22 | Single | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1267 | 563 | 317 | 203 | 141 | 103 | 79 | 63 | 51 | 42 | 35 |
|  |  | L/240 | 1270 | 376 | 159 | 81 | 47 | 30 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 6 |
|  | Double | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1240 | 575 | 329 | 212 | 148 | 109 | 83 | 66 | 54 | 44 | 37 |
|  |  | L/240 | 3514 | 1041 | 439 | 225 | 130 | 82 | 55 | 39 | 28 | 21 | 16 |
|  | Triple | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1502 | 708 | 407 | 263 | 184 | 136 | 104 | 82 | 67 | 55 | 46 |
|  |  | L/240 | 2754 | 816 | 344 | 176 | 102 | 64 | 43 | 30 | 22 | 17 | 13 |
| 20 | Single | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1679 | 746 | 420 | 269 | 187 | 137 | 105 | 83 | 67 | 56 | 47 |
|  |  | L/240 | 1614 | 478 | 202 | 103 | 60 | 38 | 25 | 18 | 13 | 10 | 7 |
|  | Double | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1572 | 732 | 419 | 271 | 189 | 139 | 107 | 84 | 68 | 57 | 48 |
|  |  | L/240 | 4283 | 1269 | 535 | 274 | 159 | 100 | 67 | 47 | 34 | 26 | 20 |
|  | Triple | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1898 | 900 | 519 | 336 | 235 | 173 | 133 | 105 | 85 | 71 | 59 |
|  |  | L/240 | 3357 | 995 | 420 | 215 | 124 | 78 | 52 | 37 | 27 | 20 | 16 |
| 19 | Single | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1994 | 886 | 499 | 319 | 222 | 163 | 125 | 98 | 80 | 66 | 55 |
|  |  | L/240 | 1958 | 580 | 245 | 125 | 73 | 46 | 31 | 21 | 16 | 12 | 9 |
|  | Double | ¢W ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 1894 | 886 | 508 | 328 | 229 | 169 | 129 | 102 | 83 | 69 | 58 |
|  |  | L/240 | 5073 | 1503 | 634 | 325 | 188 | 118 | 79 | 56 | 41 | 30 | 23 |
|  | Triple | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 2281 | 1087 | 628 | 407 | 285 | 210 | 161 | 128 | 104 | 86 | 72 |
|  |  | L/240 | 3976 | 1178 | 497 | 254 | 147 | 93 | 62 | 44 | 32 | 24 | 18 |
| 18 | Single | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 2295 | 1020 | 574 | 367 | 255 | 187 | 143 | 113 | 92 | 76 | 64 |
|  |  | L/240 | 2270 | 673 | 284 | 145 | 84 | 53 | 35 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 11 |
|  | Double | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 2162 | 1012 | 581 | 375 | 262 | 193 | 148 | 117 | 95 | 79 | 66 |
|  |  | L/240 | 5724 | 1696 | 716 | 366 | 212 | 134 | 89 | 63 | 46 | 34 | 27 |
|  | Triple | ¢W ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 2602 | 1242 | 718 | 465 | 326 | 240 | 185 | 146 | 119 | 98 | 82 |
|  |  | L/240 | 4487 | 1329 | 561 | 287 | 166 | 105 | 70 | 49 | 36 | 27 | 21 |
| 16 | Single | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 2948 | 1310 | 737 | 472 | 328 | 241 | 184 | 146 | 118 | 97 | 82 |
|  |  | L/240 | 2983 | 884 | 373 | 191 | 110 | 70 | 47 | 33 | 24 | 18 | 14 |
|  | Double | øW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 2727 | 1278 | 734 | 474 | 331 | 244 | 187 | 148 | 120 | 99 | 83 |
|  |  | L/240 | 7244 | 2146 | 906 | 464 | 268 | 169 | 113 | 79 | 58 | 44 | 34 |
|  | Triple | өW ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 3280 | 1567 | 907 | 588 | 412 | 304 | 233 | 185 | 150 | 124 | 104 |
|  |  | L/240 | 5678 | 1682 | 710 | 363 | 210 | 132 | 89 | 62 | 45 | 34 | 26 |

## Note:

1.Table does not account for web crippling. Required bearing should be determined based on specific span conditions.

[^0]Appendix E

## COMPOSITE DECK-SLAB SUPERIMPOSED

 LOADKeep up with Vulcraft/Verco by following us at https://www.linkedin.com/company/vulcraft--division-of-nucor-corp-/

Composite Deck-Slab Strength Input Design Criteria

Nㅐㄷ뭉
vulcraft Print

Design of Composite Deck-Slab Strength

| Unit System |  | Imperial | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Method |  | LRFD | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Deck Option |  | Composite |  |  |
| Deck Type |  | 1.5VL-36 | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Total Slab Thickness (in.) (1) | $3.5 \leq$ | 4 |  | $\leq 7.5$ |
| Structural Concrete Unit Weight (pcf) | (1) | 150 |  | $\geq 90$ |
| Structural Concrete Strength (psi) | $2500 \mathrm{psi} \leq$ | 4000 |  | 6000 psi |
| Deflection Limit | L/ | 360 |  |  |

Design for Maximum Unshored Span of Composite Steel Deck

| Construction Deflection Limit (1) | L / | 180 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Const. Deflection not to exceed (in.)(1) |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| End Bearing (in.) |  | 2.00 | 2.75 |
| Interior Bearing (in.) |  | 4.00 | 0.75 |
| Concrete Ponding Allowance (psf) (1) |  | 3.00 |  |
| Construction Concentrated Load (plf) | (1) | 150.00 | 150 plf |
| Construction Live Load with Concrete (psf) | (1) | 20.00 | 20 psf |
| Construction Live Load without Concrete (psf) |  | 50 | 50 psf |

Superimposed Live Load Table Range

1.5VL-36 Composite Steel Deck-Slab (LRFD)
with 4 in. 150 pcf $\mathbf{4 0 0 0}$ psi NWC
Nㅜㅁ뭉
VULCRAFT

## Maximum Unshored Span

| Gage | 1 Span | 2 Span | 3 Span |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $6^{\prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$ | $7^{\prime}-2 "$ | $7^{\prime}-3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 20 | $7^{\prime}-4 "$ | $8^{\prime}-5 "$ | $8^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 19 | $7^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-3 "$ | $9^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 18 | $8^{\prime}-3 "$ | $9^{\prime \prime}-11^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime \prime}-3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 16 | $9^{\prime}-0 "$ | $11^{\prime \prime}-1 "$ | $11^{\prime \prime}-1 "$ |

Maximum Unshored Span based on:

| Construction Live Load w/ Concrete | 20.00 | psf |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction | 50.00 | psf | Minimum End Bearing | 2.00 | in. |
| Concentrated Construction Load | 150.00 | plf | Minimum Interior Bearing | 4.00 | in. |
| Concrete Ponding Allowance | 3.00 | psf | Maximum Deflection L/ | 180 | $\leq 0.75 \mathrm{in}$. |
| Concrete Volume | 0.94 | $\mathrm{yd}^{3} / 100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ (Note: Does not include allowance for ponding) |  |  |  |


| Composite Steel Deck Properties (steel deck only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{\text {dd }}$ | $\mathrm{Sa}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {d }}{ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{d}{ }^{-}$ | $\phi \mathrm{Vn}$ |
| Gaธ๐ | leci | nef | in ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | lvin/ft |


| $1 /$ | uv |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 50 |

v.\&Iv
$0.277-6.398$

| 0.402 | 0.364 | 0.367 | 7.996 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Superimposed Design Load, $\phi \mathrm{Wn}$, / Deflection at $\mathrm{L} / 360$, psf $^{1}$

| Gage | $77^{\prime}-0 "$ | $8^{\prime}-0 "$ | $9^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0 "$ | $11^{\prime}-0 "$ | $12^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $14^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $15^{\prime}-0 "$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $510 / 565$ | $380 / 379$ | $290 / 266$ | $226 / 194$ | $178 / 145$ | $142 / 112$ | $114 / 88$ | $92 / 70$ | $74 / 57$ |
| 20 | $613 / 606$ | $458 / 406$ | $352 / 285$ | $276 / 208$ | $220 / 156$ | $177 / 120$ | $143 / 94$ | $117 / 75$ | $96 / 61$ |
| 19 | $710 / 643$ | $532 / 431$ | $410 / 302$ | $323 / 220$ | $258 / 165$ | $209 / 127$ | $171 / 100$ | $141 / 80$ | $116 / 65$ |
| 18 | $796 / 675$ | $598 / 452$ | $462 / 317$ | $365 / 231$ | $293 / 174$ | $238 / 134$ | $196 / 105$ | $162 / 84$ | $135 / 68$ |
| 16 | $979 / 741$ | $738 / 496$ | $572 / 348$ | $454 / 254$ | $367 / 191$ | $300 / 147$ | $248 / 115$ | $207 / 92$ | $174 / 75$ |

Notes: ${ }^{1}$ For high loads, long term concrete creep should be considered.

| Composite Steel Deck-Slab Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  | Min. Temperature \& Shrinkage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ | Ic | $\mathrm{Iu}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{Id}^{1}}$ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }} \mathrm{Mno}^{\text {a }}$ | \$Vno | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}} \min ^{2}$ | or Dramix® Steel Fiber |
| Gage | psf | in. ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in. $/$ /ft | in. ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kip-ft/ft | kip/ft | in. $/$ /ft | 4D 65/60BG, lbs/cy |
| 22 | 39.5 | 2.79 | 6.09 | 4.44 | 3.42 | 4.18 | 0.028 | 18 |
| 20 | 39.9 | 3.21 | 6.31 | 4.76 | 4.05 | 4.18 | 0.028 | 18 |
| 19 | 40.2 | 3.59 | 6.51 | 5.05 | 4.65 | 4.18 | 0.028 | 18 |
| 18 | 40.5 | 3.91 | 6.69 | 5.3 | 5.17 | 4.18 | 0.028 | 18 |
| 16 | 41.2 | 4.56 | 7.08 | 5.82 | 6.3 | 4.18 | 0.028 | 18 |

## Notes: ${ }^{1} I_{d}=\left(I_{c}+I_{u}\right) / 2$

${ }^{2}$ Minimum area of steel for temperature and shrinkage

| Composite Deck-Slab V4.0 is based on: | Date: |
| :--- | ---: |
| 11/16/2023 |  |

ANSI/SDI C-2017, IAPMO UES ER-0652, and IAPMO UES ER-0423

OTICE: Design defects that could cause injury or death may result from relying on the information in this document without independent verification by a qualified professional. The information in this ocument is provided "AS IS". Nucor Corporation and its affiliates expressly disclaim: (i) any and all representations, warranties and conditions and (ii) all liability arising out of or related to this document and the information in it.
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| Nucor Corporate | About Us |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vulcraft Canada | History |
| Verco Decking | Careers |

- Second Floor Composite Deck Sizing

Second Floor Live Load: 100 pst Root Balcany/Assembly
(lantiols)

Dead Load on Deck: $150\left(3^{\prime \prime} / 12\right)=37.5$ pst ( (anele)

$$
+10 \text { ps } \quad \text { (Til) }
$$

Assuming Fixed Ends:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W=1.2(37.5+10)+1.6(100)=217 \mathrm{psf} \\
& M_{u}^{-}=\frac{W 1^{2}}{12}=\frac{(217)(10)^{2}}{12}=1.81 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{At} / \mathrm{st} \\
& M_{u^{+}}=\frac{W 1^{2}}{24}=\frac{(217)(10)^{2}}{24}=0.91 \mathrm{k-ft} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& R_{u}=V_{u}=W / 2=(217)(10) / 2=1.09 \mathrm{kips} / \mathrm{At}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming Simply Supported:

$$
M_{u}+=w 1^{2} / 8=(217)(10)^{2} / 0=2.71 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{st}
$$

Using 1.5VL-36 4" Deck (18 Gage):
[Triple-Span condition needed for $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ unshared]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi W_{n}=365 \text { psf }>W_{n}=217 \text { psf } \\
& \phi M_{n}=5.17 \mathrm{k-ft} / \mathrm{A}>M_{u}^{+}=2.91 \mathrm{kft} / \mathrm{ft}>M_{u}^{-}=1.81 \mathrm{ktt} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& \phi V_{n}=4.18 \mathrm{kps} / \mathrm{A}>V_{u}=1.09 \mathrm{kps} / \mathrm{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix F

- Second Floor Composite Deck Sizing

Second Floor Live Load: 100 pst Root Balcany/Assembly
(lantiols)

Dead Load on Deck: $150\left(3^{\prime \prime} / 12\right)=37.5$ pst ( (anele)

$$
+10 \text { ps } \quad \text { (Til) }
$$

Assuming Fixed Ends:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W=1.2(37.5+10)+1.6(100)=217 \mathrm{psf} \\
& M_{u}^{-}=\frac{W 1^{2}}{12}=\frac{(217)(10)^{2}}{12}=1.81 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{At} / \mathrm{st} \\
& M_{u^{+}}=\frac{W 1^{2}}{24}=\frac{(217)(10)^{2}}{24}=0.91 \mathrm{k-ft} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& R_{u}=V_{u}=W / 2=(217)(10) / 2=1.09 \mathrm{kips} / \mathrm{At}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming Simply Supported:

$$
M_{u}+=w 1^{2} / 8=(217)(10)^{2} / 0=2.71 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{st}
$$

Using 1.5VL-36 4" Deck (18 Gage):
[Triple-Span condition needed for $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ unshared]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi W_{n}=365 \text { psf }>W_{n}=217 \text { psf } \\
& \phi M_{n}=5.17 \mathrm{k-ft} / \mathrm{A}>M_{u}^{+}=2.91 \mathrm{kft} / \mathrm{ft}>M_{u}^{-}=1.81 \mathrm{ktt} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& \phi V_{n}=4.18 \mathrm{kps} / \mathrm{A}>V_{u}=1.09 \mathrm{kps} / \mathrm{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Appendix G

## Gravity Column Design

RAM Steel 23.00.00.92
DataBase: Roadrunner Executive Tower
11/17/23 17:00:14
Building Code: IBC
Story level Roof, Column Line 6-D, Column \# 111
Fy (ksi) $=50.00 \quad$ Column Size $=$ HSS8X8X1/4
Orientation (deg.) $=0.0$

INPUT DESIGN PARAMETERS:

|  |  |  | X-Axis | Y-Axis |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lu (ft) |  | 15.00 | 15.00 |  |
| K |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Braced Against Joint Translation |  |  | Yes | Yes |
| Column Eccentricity (in) | Top |  |  | 6.50 |
|  | Bottom |  | 6.50 |  |
|  |  |  | 6.50 | 6.50 |

## CONTROLLING AXIAL COLUMN LOADS - Skip-Load Case 1:

| Axial (kip) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dead } \\ & 18.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Live } \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Roof } \\ 9.00 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEMAND CAPACITY RATIO: (1.2DL + 1.6RF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pu (kip) | $=$ | 36.11 | 0.90Pnx (kip) | $=$ | 251.85 | Pu/0.90Pnx | = | 0.143 |
|  |  |  | 0.90Pny (kip) | = | 251.85 | Pu/0.90Pny | = | 0.143 |
|  |  |  | 0.90Pn (kip) | = | 251.85 | $\mathrm{Pu} / 0.90 \mathrm{Pn}$ | = | 0.143 |

## DEMAND/CAPACITY LIMIT FOR STRENGTH : 1.000

CONTROLLING COMBINED COLUMN LOADS - Skip-Load Case 2:

|  |  | Dead | Live | Roof |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Axial (kip) |  | 18.10 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
| Moments | Top Mx (kip-ft) | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.32 |
|  | My (kip-ft) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Bot Mx (kip-ft) | 0.00 | 5.91 | 0.00 |
|  | My (kip-ft) | 0.00 | 2.95 | 0.00 |

Reverse curvature about X-Axis
Single curvature about Y-Axis
CALCULATED PARAMETERS: (1.2DL + 1.6LL + 0.5RF)

| Pu (kip) | = | 26.21 | 0.90*Pn (kip) | $=$ | 251.85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mux (kip-ft) | = | 9.45 | 0.90*Mnx (kip-ft) |  | 70.10 |
| Muy (kip-ft) | = | 4.73 | 0.90*Mny (kip-ft) | = | 70.10 |
| Rm | = | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Cbx | = | 1.78 | Cby | $=$ | 1.67 |
| Cmx | = | 0.56 | Cmy | $=$ | 0.60 |
| Pex (kip) | = | 624.56 | Pey (kip) | = | 624.56 |
| B1x | = | 1.00 | B1y | = | 1.00 |

## INTERACTION EQUATION

$\mathrm{Pu} / 0.90 * \mathrm{Pn} \quad=\quad 0.104$

## Gravity Column Design

RAM Steel 23.00.00.92
Page 2/4
DataBase: Roadrunner Executive Tower
11/17/23 17:00:14
Bentley Building Code: IBC
Eq H1-1b: $0.052+0.135+0.067=0.254$

## Gravity Column Design

RAM Steel 23.00.00.92
DataBase: Roadrunner Executive Tower
11/17/23 17:00:14
Building Code: IBC

## Story level Second, Column Line 6-D, Column \# 111

Fy (ksi)
$=50.00$
Column Size
$=$ HSS8X8X1/4
Orientation (deg.) $=0.0$

INPUT DESIGN PARAMETERS:

|  |  | C-Axis | Y-Axis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Lu (ft) |  | 18.00 | 18.00 |  |
| K |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Braced Against Joint Translation |  |  | Yes | Yes |
| Column Eccentricity (in) | Top |  | 6.50 | 6.50 |
|  | Bottom |  | 6.50 | 6.50 |

## CONTROLLING AXIAL COLUMN LOADS - Skip-Load Case 1:



## DEMAND/CAPACITY LIMIT FOR STRENGTH : 1.000

CONTROLLING COMBINED COLUMN LOADS - Skip-Load Case 6:
Dead
70.57
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

Single curvature about X-Axis
Single curvature about Y-Axis

| CALCULATED PARAMETERS: | (1.2DL + 1.6LL + 0.5RF) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Pu (kip) | $=$ | 169.18 | $0.90 * \operatorname{Pn}(\mathrm{kip})$ | $=$ | 226.82 |
| Mux (kip-ft) | $=$ | 0.00 | $0.90^{*} \mathrm{Mnx}(\mathrm{kip}-\mathrm{ft})$ | $=$ | 70.10 |
| Muy (kip-ft) | $=$ | 3.94 | $0.90^{*} \mathrm{Mny}(\mathrm{kip}-\mathrm{ft})$ | $=$ | 70.10 |
| Rm | $=$ | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Cbx | $=$ | 1.00 | Cby | $=$ | 1.67 |
| Cmx | $=$ | 0.60 | Cmy | $=$ | 0.60 |
| Pex (kip) | $=$ | 433.72 | Pey (kip) | $=$ | 433.72 |
| B1x | $=$ | 1.00 | B1y | $=$ | 1.00 |

## INTERACTION EQUATION

$\mathrm{Pu} / 0.90 * \mathrm{Pn} \quad=\quad 0.746$

Live
50.00
0.00
2.46
0.00
0.00

Roof
9.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

## Gravity Column Design

RAM Steel 23.00.00.92
DataBase: Roadrunner Executive Tower
11/17/23 17:00:14
Bentley Building Code: IBC
Eq H1-1a: $0.746+8 / 9(0.000+0.056)=0.796$

- Column Spot-Check

Checking Column D6 (Gridlines D and 6):
Trib width along $x=\frac{30^{\prime}+30^{\prime}}{2}=30 \mathrm{~A}$
Trib with along $y=\frac{25^{\prime}+25^{\prime}}{2}=25 \mathrm{ft}$
Total tributary area $=30^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}=150 \mathrm{At}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Dead Load }= & 25 \text { (Roof) } \\
& +15 \text { (Second) Floor) } \\
& +150(1 / 1 /) \text { (Concede on composite deck) } \\
& =90 \text { pos }
\end{aligned}
$$

Live Load $=80$ pot (Second Floor/Corridor)
Roof Live Load $=20$ psf

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W=1.2(90)+1.6(80)+0.5(20)=246 \mathrm{psf} \\
& W \times A=246 \mathrm{psf} \times 750 \mathrm{ft}^{2}=184500 \mathrm{lbs}=184.5 \mathrm{kips} \approx 185 \mathrm{kip}=\mathrm{Pu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculated axial load $=184.5 \mathrm{kips}$
Computer axial load $=185.2 \mathrm{kips}$
Table 4-4 of AISC: $\quad$ (Foundation to $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor)
Assuming HEs $8 \times 8 \times 1 / 4$ with $L_{c}=18^{\prime} \rightarrow \Phi_{n}=227 \mathrm{kipc}$
Interaction: $P_{m} / P_{p_{n}}=184.5 / 227=0.813 \approx 0.816$

Appendix H

## Gravity Beam Design

RAM Steel 23.00.00.92
DataBase: Roadrunner Executive Tower
11/17/23 02:40:28
Building Code: IBC
Floor Type: Second Floor $\quad$ Beam Number $=302$
SPAN INFORMATION (ft): I-End (200.00,20.00) J-End (200.00,40.00)

| Beam Size (Optimum) | $=$ W12X19 | Fy $=50.0 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Beam Length (ft) | $=20.00$ |  |

COMPOSITE PROPERTIES (Not Shored):

| Deck Label | Left |  | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Composite Deck |  | Composite Deck |  |
| Concrete thickness (in) |  | 2.50 |  | 2.50 |
| Unit weight concrete (pcf) |  | 150.00 |  | 0.00 |
| f'c (ksi) |  | 4.00 |  | 4.00 |
| Decking Orientation |  | rpendicular |  | ular |
| Decking type |  | AFT 1.5VL | VULCRA | VL |
| beff (in) | 60.00 | Y bar(in) | $=$ | 13.01 |
| Mnf (kip-ft) | 218.56 | Mn (kip-ft) | $=$ | 161.00 |
| C (kips) | 86.15 | PNA (in) | $=$ | 9.77 |
| Ieff (in4) | 325.18 | Itr (in4) | $=$ | 480.93 |
| Stud length (in) | 3.00 | Stud diam (in) | $=$ | 0.75 |

Stud Capacity (kips) $\mathrm{Qn}=17.2 \quad \mathrm{Rg}=1.00 \quad \mathrm{Rp}=0.60$
\# of studs: $\quad$ Full $=34 \quad$ Partial $=10 \quad$ Actual $=10$
Number of Stud Rows $=1 \quad$ Percent of Full Composite Action $=30.93$

## LINE LOADS (k/ft):

| Load | Dist | DL | CDL | LL | Red\% | Type | PartL | CLL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.405 | 0.405 | 0.000 | --- | NonR | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 20.000 | 0.405 | 0.405 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.800 | --- | NonR | 0.000 | 0.200 |
|  | 20.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.800 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.200 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.000 | --- | NonR | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 20.000 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.000 |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |

SHEAR (Ultimate): Max Vu (1.2DL+1.6LL) $=20.89$ kips $1.00 \mathrm{Vn}=86.01$ kips
MOMENTS (Ultimate):

| Span | Cond | LoadCombo | Mu <br> kip-ft | $@$ <br> ft | Lb <br> ft | Cb | Phi | Phi*Mn <br> kip-ft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Center | PreCmp+ | 1.2DL+1.6LL | 56.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 92.62 |
|  | Init DL | 1.4DL | 47.2 | 10.0 | --- | --- |  |  |
|  | Max + | 1.2DL+1.6LL | 104.4 | 10.0 | --- | --- | 0.90 | 144.90 |
| Controlling |  | $1.2 D L+1.6 L L$ | 104.4 | 10.0 | --- | --- | 0.90 | 144.90 |

## REACTIONS (kips):

|  | Left | Right |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Initial reaction | 8.74 | 8.74 |
| DL reaction | 6.74 | 6.74 |
| Max +LL reaction | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| Max + total reaction (factored) | 20.89 | 20.89 |

## Gravity Beam Design

RAM Steel 23.00.00.92
Page $2 / 2$
DataBase: Roadrunner Executive Tower
11/17/23 02:40:28
空|Bentley
Building Code: IBC

| Initial load (in) | at $10.00 \mathrm{ft}=-0.643$ | $\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{D}=373$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Live load (in) | at $10.00 \mathrm{ft}=-0.305$ | $\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{D}=786>360$ | 0.46 |  |
| Post Comp load (in) | at $10.00 \mathrm{ft}=-0.305$ | $\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{D}=786>240$ | 0.31 |  |
| Net Total load (in) | at $10.00 \mathrm{ft}=-0.949$ | L/D $=253>240$ | 0.95 |  |

- Beam Spot Check

Checking example 20 ft joist -bean on second floor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Dead Load } & =25 \text { ps } \quad \text { (Second Floor tile }+ \text { MEP) } \\
& +40.5 \text { psi (Weight from composite deck) }
\end{aligned}
$$

[Can be found in Appendix C]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Live } L_{\text {oat }}=80 \mathrm{psf} \\
& W=1.2(65.5)+1.6(80)=206.6 \mathrm{psf} \\
& W \times T_{w}=206.6 \times 10 \mathrm{ft}=2066 \mathrm{plf}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\imath_{10 \text { ft spacing }}
$$

$$
M_{u}=\frac{W 1^{2}}{8}=(2066)(20)^{2} \%=103.3 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft} \approx 104.4 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{At}
$$

From table 3-10 of AISC:

$$
\phi M_{n} \text { of } W 12 \times 19=92.6 \mathrm{kPH}=\phi M_{n}=92.62 \mathrm{kFA}
$$

The above capacity is for the W$W 12 \times 19$ betore it becomes composite.
I don't know how to calculate the capacity of a composite beam... : (

## Appendix I



| $s_{\max }=$ | $\frac{d}{2} \leq 24 i n$. | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $s_{\max }=11 \mathrm{in}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\frac{d}{4} \leq 12 i n$. | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $s_{\max }=5 \mathrm{in}$. |



| \# of stirrup legs based on $A_{v, \text { min }}$ | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | $\underline{A_{v, \text { min }}} \times \frac{s_{\text {max }}}{}=$ | No. 3 bar: | 3 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{A_{b a r}}$ | No. 4 bar: | 2 legs |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | $\underline{A_{v, \text { min }}} \times \frac{S_{\text {max }}}{}=$ | No. 3 bar: | 2 legs |
|  |  | $A_{\text {bar }}$ | No. 4 bar: | 1 legs |


| \# of stirrup legs based on $s_{\text {max }}$ along width | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $d \leq 24$ in. | $s_{\text {max }}=$ | 23 in. | $\# \text { Legs }=\frac{b_{w}}{s_{\max }}+1=$ | 3 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $\frac{d}{2} \leq 12 \mathrm{in} .$ | $s_{\text {max }}=$ | 11.5 in. | $\# \text { Legs }=\frac{b_{w}}{s_{\max }}+1=$ | 5 legs |


| Final \# of stirrup legs | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}{ }^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | No. 3 bar: | 3 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. 4 bar: | 3 legs |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | No. 3 bar: | 5 legs |
|  |  | No. 4 bar: | 5 legs |


| $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}$ | -Reference code ACl 318-19 [22.5.1.1] <br> -Reference code ACI 318-19 [21.2.1] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi=0.75$ |  |  |  |
| $\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} \leq 100 p s i$ | For strength calculations: | $\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}=$ | 63.246 psi |
| $\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}} \leq 0.05 f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ | For strength calculations: | $\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}=$ | 0.000 psi |


-Reference code ACI 318-19 [22.5.5.1.3]

-Reference code ACI 318-19 [22.5.5.1.1]

| $V_{c}$ | $A_{v} \geq A_{\nu, \text { min }}$ |  | $\left[2 \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}{ }^{\prime}}+\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}\right] b_{w} d=$ | 104.73 kips | $\phi V_{c}=$ | 78.55 kips | -Reference code ACl 318-19 [Table 22.5.5.1(a)] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A_{v}<A_{v, \text { min }}$ |  | $\left[8 \lambda_{s} \lambda\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}\right] b_{w} d=$ | 46.69 kips | $\phi V_{c}=$ | 35.02 kips | -Reference code ACl 318-19 [Table 22.5.5.1(c)] |
| Max allowable strength from this cross-section |  | $\phi V_{n, \max }=\phi V_{c}+\phi 8 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d=$ |  | 314.28 kips | -Reference code ACI 318-19 [22.5.1.2] |  |  |



| When $d / 4$ " spacing is used | No. 3 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y} d}{s}=$ | 151.80 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ | 192.40 kips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $-\cdots$ | No. 4 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y t} d}{s}=$ | 276.00 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ |

For a concrete beam with $25^{\prime \prime}$ of height [ $\left.d=23^{\prime \prime} ; f_{c}{ }^{\prime}=4 k s i ; f_{y t}=60 k s i\right]$



| $s_{\max }=$ | $\frac{d}{2} \leq 24 i n$. | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $s_{\max }=11 \mathrm{in}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\frac{d}{4} \leq 12 i n$. | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $s_{\max }=5 \mathrm{in}$. |



| \# of stirrup legs based on $A_{v, \text { min }}$ | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | $\underline{A_{v, \text { min }}} \times \frac{s_{\text {max }}}{}=$ | No. 3 bar: | 1 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{A_{b a r}}$ | No. 4 bar: | 1 legs |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | $\underline{A_{v, \text { min }}} \times \frac{S_{\text {max }}}{}=$ | No. 3 bar: | 1 legs |
|  |  | $A_{\text {bar }}$ | No. 4 bar: | 1 legs |


| \# of stirrup legs <br> based on $s_{\max }$ <br> along width | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $d \leq 24 i n$. | $s_{\max }=$ | $23 \mathrm{in}$. | \#Legs $=\frac{b_{w}}{s_{\max }}+1=2$ legs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $\frac{d}{2} \leq 12 i n$. | $s_{\max }=$ | $11.5 \mathrm{in}$. | \#Legs $=\frac{b_{w}}{s_{\max }}+1=2$ legs |


| Final \# of stirrup legs | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}{ }^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | No. 3 bar: | 2 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. 4 bar: | 2 legs |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | No. 3 bar: | 2 legs |
|  |  | No. 4 bar: | 2 legs |


| $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}$ |
| ---: |
| $\phi=0.75$ |

-Reference code ACl 318-19 [22.5.1.1]
-Reference code ACl 318-19 [21.2.1]

| $\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} \leq 100 \mathrm{psi}$ | For strength calculations: | $\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}=63.246 \mathrm{psi}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}} \leq 0.05 f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ | For strength calculations: | $\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}=$ | 0.000 psi |


-Reference code ACI 318-19 [22.5.5.1.3]

-Reference code ACI 318-19 [22.5.5.1.1]

| $V_{c}$ | $A_{v} \geq A_{v, \text { min }}$ | $\left[2 \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}\right] b_{w} d=$ | 23.27 kips | $\phi V_{c}=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $A_{v}<A_{v, \text { min }}$ | $\left[8 \lambda_{s} \lambda\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}\right] b_{w} d=$ | 11.88 kips | $\phi V_{c}=$ |


-Reference code ACl 318-19 [22.5.1.2]


Stop stirrups when: $\quad V_{u} \leq \quad 8.72$ kips

| When $d / 2$ " spacing is used | No. 3 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y t} d}{s}=$ | 27.60 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ | 38.15 kips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. 4 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y t} d}{s}=$ | 50.18 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ | 55.09 kips |


| When $d / 4$ " spacing is used | No. 3 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y t} d}{s}=$ | 60.72 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ | 62.99 kips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -- | No. 4 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y t} d}{s}=$ | 110.40 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ |



| $s_{\max }=$ | $\frac{d}{2} \leq 24 i n$. | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $s_{\max }=11 \mathrm{in}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\frac{d}{4} \leq 12 i n$. | $\left[\right.$ When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $]$ | $s_{\max }=5 \mathrm{in}$. |



| \# of stirrup legs based on $A_{v, \text { min }}$ | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | $\underline{A_{v, \text { min }}} \times \frac{s_{\text {max }}}{}=$ | No. 3 bar: | 3 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{A_{b a r}}$ | No. 4 bar: | 2 legs |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | $\underline{A_{v, \text { min }}} \times \frac{S_{\text {max }}}{}=$ | No. 3 bar: | 2 legs |
|  |  | $A_{\text {bar }}$ | No. 4 bar: | 1 legs |


| \# of stirrup legs based on $s_{\text {max }}$ along width | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $d \leq 24$ in. | $s_{\text {max }}=$ | 23 in. | \#Legs = | 3 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ | $\frac{d}{2} \leq 12 \mathrm{in} .$ | $s_{\text {max }}=$ | 11.5 in. | \#Legs = | 4 legs |


| Final \# of stirrup legs | [When: $V_{s} \leq 4 \sqrt{f_{c}{ }^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | No. 3 bar: | 3 legs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. 4 bar: | 3 legs |
|  | [When: $V_{s}>4 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d$ ] | No. 3 bar: | 4 legs |
|  |  | No. 4 bar: | 4 legs |


| $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}$ | -Reference code ACl 318-19 [22.5.1.1] <br> -Reference code ACI 318-19 [21.2.1] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi=0.75$ |  |  |  |
| $\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} \leq 100 p s i$ | For strength calculations: | $\sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}=$ | 63.246 psi |
| $\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}} \leq 0.05 f_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ | For strength calculations: | $\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}=$ | 0.000 psi |


-Reference code ACI 318-19 [22.5.5.1.3]

-Reference code ACI 318-19 [22.5.5.1.1]

| $V_{c}$ | $A_{v} \geq A_{v, \text { min }}$ |  | $\left[2 \lambda \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}\right] b_{w} d=$ | 87.28 kips | $\phi V_{c}=$ | 65.46 kips | -Reference code ACI 318-19 [Table 22.5.5.1(a)] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A_{v}<A_{v, \text { min }}$ |  | $\left[8 \lambda_{s} \lambda\left(\rho_{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{N_{u}}{6 A_{g}}\right] b_{w} d=$ | 38.91 kips | $\phi V_{c}=$ | 29.18 kips | -Reference code ACl 318-19 [Table 22.5.5.1(c)] |
| Max allowable strength from this cross-section |  | $\phi V_{n, \max }=\phi V_{c}+\phi 8 \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime}} b_{w} d=$ |  | 261.90 kips | -Reference code ACl 318-19 [22.5.1.2] |  |  |



| When $d / 4$ " spacing is used | No. 3 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y t} d}{s}=$ | 121.44 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ | 156.53 kips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -- | No. 4 | $V_{s}=\frac{A_{v} f_{y} d}{s}=$ | 220.80 kips | $\phi V_{n}=\phi V_{c}+\phi V_{s}=$ |

Appendix J

- Pier Depths and Capacities

From Geotech: Bearing Soll Friztion

| Frist 10' | 0 pst | 0 psf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Next $10^{\prime}$ | 10,000 | pst |
| Limestone | 750,000 | pst |

$24^{\text {¹a }}$ - 22 A

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 22 \mathrm{~A} \\
& =(2 \times \pi \times 10 \times 750)+(2 \times \pi \times 2 \times 2000)+(2 \times 2 \times 1 / 4 \times \pi \times 30000) \\
& =166.5 \text { kips }
\end{aligned}
$$

$30^{\prime \prime}$ dia P 22 At

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { R2A } \\
& =(2.5 \times \pi \times 10 \times 750)+(2.5 \times \pi \times 2 \times 2000)+\left(2.5^{2} \times 1 / 4 \times \pi \times 30000\right) \\
& =237.6 \text { kips }
\end{aligned}
$$

30"dia @ 25 ft

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25+t \\
= & (2.5 \times \pi \times 10 \times 750)+(2.5 \times \pi \times 5 \times 2000)+\left(2.5^{2} \times 1 / 4 \times \pi \times 30000\right) \\
= & 284.7 \mathrm{kips}
\end{aligned}
$$

$30^{\circ}$ dia e 28 ft

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 28 \mathrm{Af} \\
& =(2.5 \times \pi \times 10 \times 750)+(2.5 \times \pi \times 8 \times 2000)+\left(2.5^{2} \times 1 / 4 \times \pi \times 30000\right) \\
& =331.8 \text { kips }
\end{aligned}
$$





Appendix K



## Appendix L

| Waste water flow for our building: |  | 13.86 | EDU | 2,772 | gpd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot size: | 8.35 | acres |  |  |  |
| Peak dry weather flow: | 6930 | gpd |  |  |  |
| Inflow and Infiltration: | 5010 | gpd |  |  |  |
| Wet weather Flow: | 11940 | gpd | Min flow required for our building: Q |  |  |
| Average flow velocity: V | 0.0529 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | 0.125 | ft |
| $\mathrm{S}=$ | $10 \%$ |  |
| A |  |  |
| $=$ | 0.1964 | ft |


| Maximum flow provided by an 6" pipe |  |  | 1128743.29 | gpd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Flow Velocity: V | 8.8944666 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Average Flow: Q | 1.7464285 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2$ | 783.85 | gpm | 1128743.29 | gpd |

Determination of Wastewater Flows

1. For the purpose of pipe sizing, an equivalent dwelling unit (EDU) is assumed to produce an average wastewater flow of 200 gallons per day.
2. SAWS will evaluate commercial and industrial wastewater flows on a case-by-case basis. Use of SAWS Infrastructure Planning EDU calculation sheet is recommended.
3. Strict attention must be given to minimizing inflow and infiltration. In sizing wastewater mains, external contributions must be accounted for by including 600 gallons per acre served for inflow and infiltration. Wastewater mains in the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone must meet the requirements of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.
4. The peak dry weather flow is 2.5 times the average flow. In designing for an existing facility, flows must be measured in lieu of calculations for the preexisting developed area.
5. The peak wet weather flow is obtained by adding inflow and infiltration to the peak dry weather flow.
6. Determination of peak dry and wet-weather flow on an existing pipe segment will be required if by-pass pumping is involved. It is the responsibility of the developer customer to monitor and control existing flows during construction to prevent overflows from occurring. Flow measuring equipment shall be utilized as required. Reference section 11.3.3 below.

## Determination of Pipe Size

1. All gravity wastewater mains must have a minimum diameter of eight inches.
2. For wastewater mains 15 inches in diameter or smaller, the main must be designed so that the peak wet weather flow will not exceed $90 \%$ of the capacity of the pipe flowing full. For wastewater mains 18 inches in diameter or larger, the main must be designed so that the peak wet weather flow will not exceed $95 \%$ of the capacity of the pipe flowing full.
3. The maximum design velocity calculated using the peak wet weather flow may not exceed 10 feet per second unless special conditions make no other option available. In such cases, proper consideration must be given to pipe material, abrasive characteristics of the wastewater flows, turbulence and displacement by erosion or shock.
4. Design of wastewater mains must employ the Manning's Equation with a minimum " $n$ " factor of 0.013 or as required by TCEQ.
5. The Manning Formula is: $V=\frac{1.49}{n} \times R_{h}^{0.67} \times \sqrt{s}$

### 11.1 WASTEWATER LATERALS

1. An individual wastewater lateral from the wastewater main to the property line must be installed to serve each lot or tract within a proposed development, in a location approved by SAWS.
2. Wastewater laterals from single-family lots should normally discharge into a wastewater main. At the end of a dead-end line, SAWS may allow up to two wastewater laterals from single-family lots to be connected to a manhole, except on the Edwards Recharge Zone. Wastewater laterals from commercial developments with flows of more than 20,000 gallons per day must discharge into a proposed or existing manhole. Where the flow line of any service lead is 24 inches or more above the flow line of the manhole, a standard drop manhole must be installed per 30 TAC $217.55(\mathrm{k})(2)(\mathrm{G})-(\mathrm{H})$ and current SAWS standard construction specifications.
3. Wastewater laterals must be a minimum of six inches in diameter and must minimize the use of bends. The use of 90 -degree bends is prohibited.
4. Wastewater laterals with a diameter of six inches must use full body fittings, extruded or factory-fabricated, for connection to a proposed SAWS wastewater main or an approved saddle-type connector for connection to an existing SAWS wastewater main.
5. Wastewater laterals must be a minimum of five feet below the finished grade at the property line, exceptions may be approved by SAWS Director.
6. Wastewater laterals shall not be connected to wastewater mains greater than twenty feet deep, exceptions may be approved by SAWS Director.
7. Wastewater laterals should have a standard 2.0 percent slope but may have a minimum 1.0 percent slope if approved by SAWS.
8. Wastewater laterals may not be connected to mains larger than 21 inches in diameter unless approved by SAWS Director. Any connection to larger mains must have a private wastewater flapper valve inside the property line and adequate on-site venting of wastewater gases at or near the building site.
9. Wastewater laterals shall not exceed 86 feet from the wastewater main to the property line. Wastewater laterals that will exceed 86 feet will be required to extend an 8 -inch sewer main and manhole from the wastewater main to the property line.

| 9.5 | EDU | 2" PVC |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Average daily flow for our building: | 1.9 | gpm | 2736 | gpd |  |  |
| Peak Daily Flow: | 3.8 | gpm | 5472 | gpd |  |  |
| Peak Hourly Flow: | 14.25 | gpm | 20520 | gpd |  |  |


| Velocity PHF: | 1.455 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | $<5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | PRV NOT Required |  |  |
| Static Pressure @ Meter: |  | psi | $>80 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Operating Pressure @ Meter: | 53.42 | psi | $>40 \mathrm{psi}$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| Friction Loss coe for PVC C = | 120 |
| :---: | :---: |
| constant k = | 1.318 |
| Hydrualic radius $\mathrm{R}=$ | 0.04167 |
| Surface area of pipe $A=$ | 0.0218 |
| Length of run L = | 94.65 |
| Head loss hL = | 0.286 |
| Elevation at meter h1 = | 987 |
| Elevation at building connection h2 = | 994 |
| Static Ground Presure of existing line P2 = | 80 |
| Density of water $\mathrm{p}=$ | 5.202 |
| gravity constant g = | 9.81 |
| Hydraulic Grade Line for our area HGL = | 1170 |

### 8.6 LOCATION OF WATER METERS

Water meters must be located outside of the fence line and accessible at all times with protection from traffic. Meters must be within or adjacent to public rights-of-way whenever possible. Meters may not be located in areas enclosed by fences. Meters two inches and smaller must be located in a public right-of-way, a water line easement, or a minimum five-foot by five-foot separate water meter easement. Meters three inches and larger must be located at least one foot, but not more than 50 feet, outside of the public right-of-way, in a water line easement or a minimum ten-foot by twelve-foot water meter easement and is subject to approval by SAWS.

### 9.1 DETERMINATION OF WATER REQUIREMENTS

All water system infrastructures must be designed according to the following assumptions and requirements.

1. The San Antonio Water System employs the factor "Equivalent Dwelling Unit" (EDU) to determine the water demands for its water mains. An EDU, for purposes of water system design, is 290 gallons average daily flow (or .2 gpm).
2. Hazen Williams Friction Coefficient $\mathrm{C}=120$ for PVC and HDPE pipe and $\mathrm{C}=100$ for ductile iron pipe. A higher $C$ factor may be used for new mains only upon approval by SAWS with sufficient documentation to show the effects of long-term use.
3. Average daily flow $=.2 \mathrm{gpm}$ per EDU
4. Peak daily flow $=.4$ gpm per EDU
5. Peak hourly flow $=1.5$ gpm per EDU
6. Pressure zones are established to provide static pressures of 56 psi to 150 psi , depending on area geography and elevations.
7. If maximum static pressure exceeds 80 psi at the proposed meter location, a Pressure Reducing Valve (PRV) rated for a maximum working pressure of no less than 300 psi must be installed on the customer side of the meter, in conformance with the current plumbing code with local amendments adopted by the City of San Antonio, prior to a SAWS meter being installed. The PRV(s) must have the ability to reduce the operating pressure to no greater than 80 psi . The PRV's proper settings must be performed and confirmed by the contractor.
8. Minimum operating pressure shall be 40 psi at the highest elevation meter location using peak hourly flow.
9. The velocity in a distribution main may not exceed 5 feet per second during peak hourly flow.
10. The velocity in transmission mains as designated by SAWS may not exceed 3 feet per second during peak daily flow.

### 9.10 VALVE REQUIREMENTS

1. All valves in the potable water system must open "right (clockwise)." For recycled water and pump stations, valves will open "left (counterclockwise)".
2. Valves must be located at the intersection of two or more mains and must be spaced so that no more than 30 customers will be without water during a shutdown.
3. On mains less than 36 inches in diameter, valves may be no more than 1000 feet apart. For mains 36 inches and larger, the location and frequency of required valves may vary depending on SAWS' engineering design considerations.
4. The number of valves at each intersection shall be the same as the number of pipe extensions, or reduced by one as approved by SAWS to minimize the number of customers out-of-service during a "shut-down".
5. At dead ends, gate valves must be located one pipe length or a minimum of 10 feet from the end points of the main. The customer's engineer must provide drawings showing complete restraint for all such valves, pipe extensions and end caps.
6. Branch piping for both new and future branches must be separated from the water main by gate valves. Future branch valves must have proper restraints and caps.
7. Valves at intersections must be placed at the point of curvature of the curb line.
8. On water mains 16 inches and smaller, valves must be resilient seated gate valves.
9. On water mains 16 inches in diameter and larger, automatic combination air/vacuum valves must be placed at all high points.
10. On water mains greater than 16 inches in diameter, butterfly valves must be used.
11. All butterfly valves must have actuators enclosed in a valve box.
12. Valves separating pressure zones, (Division valves, or pressure zone boundaries) must be equipped with a locking type debris cap. The valve box lid must state Division Valve.
13. Fire hydrant valves must be resilient seated gate valves and must be restrained to the main.
14. All valves shall be mechanically restrained.
15. Valves (minimum Pressure Class 200 psi rated) shall be class 250 lb ., with 150 lb . bolt pattern (class 'E' flanges). The 250 lb . valve with the 150 lb . bolt pattern provides the 200 psi.

TABLE B105.2
REQUIRED FIRE FLOW FOR BUILDINGS OTHER THAN ONE- AND TWO-FAMILY DWELLINGS, GROUP R-3 AND R-4 BUILDINGS AND TOWNHOUSES

| AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM <br> (Design Standard) | MINIMUM FIRE FLOW <br> (gallons per minute) | FLOW DURATION <br> (hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No automatic sprinkler system | Value in Table B105.1(2) | Duration in Table B105.1(2) |
| Section 903.3.1.1 of the International Fire Code | $25 \%$ of the value in Table B105.1(2) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Duration in Table B105.1(2) at the reduced flow rate |
| Section 903.3.1.2 of the International Fire Code | $25 \%$ of the value in Table B105.1(2) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Duration in Table B105.1(2) at the reduced flow rate |

For SI: 1 gallon per minute $=3.785 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{m}$.
a. The reduced fire flow shall be not less than 1,000 gallons per minute
b. The reduced fire flow shall be not less than 1,500 gallons per minute.

TABLE B105.1(2) REFERENCE TABLE FOR TABLES B105.1(1) AND B105.2

| FIRE-FLOW CALCULATION AREA (square feet) |  |  |  |  | FIRE FLOW (gallons per minute) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | FLOW DURATION (hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type IA and IB ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Type IIA and IIIA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Type IV and V-A ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Type IIB and IIIB ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Type V-8 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 0-22,700 | 0-12,700 | 0-8,200 | 0-5,900 | 0-3,600 | 1,500 | 2 |
| 22,701-30,200 | 12,701-17,000 | 8,201-10,900 | 5,901-7,900 | 3,601-4,800 | 1,750 |  |
| 30,201-38,700 | 17,001-21,800 | 10,901-12,900 | 7,901-9,800 | 4,801-6,200 | 2,000 |  |
| 38,701-48,300 | 21,801-24,200 | 12,901-17,400 | 9,801-12,600 | 6,201-7,700 | 2,250 |  |
| 48,301-59,000 | 24,201-33,200 | 17,401-21,300 | 12,601-15,400 | 7,701-9,400 | 2,500 |  |
| 59,001-70,900 | 33,201-39,700 | 21,301-25,500 | 15,401-18,400 | 9,401-11,300 | 2,750 |  |
| 70,901-83,700 | 39,701-47,100 | 25,501-30,100 | 18,401-21,800 | 11,301-13,400 | 3,000 | 3 |
| 83,701-97,700 | 47,101-54,900 | 30,101-35,200 | 21,801-25,900 | 13,401-15,600 | 3,250 |  |
| 97,701-112,700 | 54,901-63,400 | 35,201-40,600 | 25,901-29,300 | 15,601-18,000 | 3,500 |  |
| 112,701-128,700 | 63,401-72,400 | 40,601-46,400 | 29,301-33,500 | 18,001-20,600 | 3,750 |  |
| 128,701-145,900 | 72,401-82,100 | 46,401-52,500 | 33,501-37,900 | 20,601-23,300 | 4,000 | 4 |
| 145,901-164,200 | 82,101-92,400 | 52,501-59,100 | 37,901-42,700 | 23,301-26,300 | 4,250 |  |
| 164,201-183,400 | 92,401-103,100 | 59,101-66,000 | 42,701-47,700 | 26,301-29,300 | 4,500 |  |
| 183,401-203,700 | 103,101-114,600 | 66,001-73,300 | 47,701-53,000 | 29,301-32,600 | 4,750 |  |
| 203,701-225,200 | 114,601-126,700 | 73,301-81,100 | 53,001-58,600 | 32,601-36,000 | 5,000 |  |
| 225,201-247,700 | 126,701-139,400 | 81,101-89,200 | 58,601-65,400 | 36,001-39,600 | 5,250 |  |
| 247,701-271,200 | 139,401-152,600 | 89,201-97,700 | 65,401-70,600 | 39,601-43,400 | 5,500 |  |
| 271,201-295,900 | 152,601-166,500 | 97,701-106,500 | 70,601-77,000 | 43,401-47,400 | 5,750 |  |
| 295,901-Greater | 166,501-Greater | 106,501-115,800 | 77,001-83,700 | 47,401-51,500 | 6,000 |  |
| - | - | 115,801-125,500 | 83,701-90,600 | 51,501-55,700 | 6,250 |  |
| - | - | 125,501-135,500 | 90,601-97,900 | 55,701-60,200 | 6,500 |  |
| - | - | 135,501-145,800 | 97,901-106,800 | 60,201-64,800 | 6,750 |  |
| - | - | 145,801-156,700 | 106,801-113,200 | 64,801-69,600 | 7,000 |  |
| - | - | 156,701-167,900 | 113,201-121,300 | 69,601-74,600 | 7,250 |  |
| - | - | 167,901-179,400 | 121,301-129,600 | 74,601-79,800 | 7,500 |  |
| - | - | 179,401-191,400 | 129,601-138,300 | 79,801-85,100 | 7,750 |  |
| - | - | 191,401-Greater | 138,301-Greater | 85,101-Greater | 8,000 |  |

For Sl: 1 square foot $=0.0929 \mathrm{~m}^{2}, 1$ gallon per minute $=3.785 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{m}, 1$ pound per square inch $=6.895 \mathrm{kPa}$.
a. Types of construction are based on the international Building Code.
b. Measured at 20 psi residual pressure.

TABLE C102.1 REQUIRED NUMBER AND SPACING OF FIRE HYDRANTS ${ }^{\text {h }}$

| FIRE-FLOW REQUIREMENT (gpm) | MINIMUM NUMBER OF HYDRANTS | AVERAGE SPACING BETWEEN HYDRANTS ${ }^{\text {a, b, c, } f, g}$ (feet) | MAXIMUM DISTANCE FROM ANY POINT ON STREET OR ROAD FRONTAGE TO A HYDRANT ${ }^{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,750 or less | 1 | 500 | 250 |
| 1,751-2,250 | 2 | 450 | 225 |
| 2,251-2,750 | 3 | 450 | 225 |
| 2,751-3,250 | 3 | 400 | 225 |
| 3,251-4,000 | 4 | 350 | 210 |
| 4,001-5,000 | 5 | 300 | 180 |
| 5,001-5,500 | 6 | 300 | 180 |
| 5,501-6,000 | 6 | 250 | 150 |
| 6,001-7,000 | 7 | 250 | 150 |
| 7,001 or more | 8 or more ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 200 | 120 |

For Sl: 1 foot $=304.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 1$ gallon per minute $=3.785 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{m}$.
a. Reduce by 100 feet for dead-end streets or roads.
b. Where streets are provided with median dividers that cannot be crossed by fire fighters pulling hose lines, or where arterial streets are provided with four or more traffic lanes and have a traffic count of more than 30,000 vehicles pe day, hydrant spacing shall average 500 feet on each side of the street and be arranged on an alternating basis.
c. Where new water mains are extended along streets where hydrants are not needed for protection of structures or similar fire problems, fire hydrants shall be provided at spacing not to exceed 1,000 feet to provide for transportation hazards.
d. Reduce by 50 feet for dead-end streets or roads.
e. One hydrant for each 1,000 gallons per minute or fraction thereof.
f. A 50 -percent spacing increase shall be permitted where the building is equipped throughout with an approved automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 of the International Fire Code.
g. A 25 -percent spacing increase shall be permitted where the building is equipped throughout with an approved automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Section 903.3.1.2 or 903.3 .1 .3 of the International Fire Code or Section P2904 of the Intemationa/ Residential Code.
h. The fire code official is authorized to modify the location, number and distribution of fire hydrants based on site-specific constraints and hazards.


[^0]:    NOTICE: Design defects that could cause injury or death may result from relying on the information in this document without independent verification by a qualified professional. The information in this document is provided "AS IS". Nucor Corporation and its affiliates expressly disclaim: (i) any and all representations, warranties and conditions and (ii) all liability arising out of or related to this document and the information in it.

